le Fusion Nucleur withta

Target Design Effects in Chamber and Fusion Technology (thinking in HiPER)

J. Manuel Perlado, Javier Sanz Gozalo Instituto Fusión Nuclear (DENIM) Universidad Politécnica Madrid

October16, 2007



October16, 2007



Instituto de Fusión Nuclear



October16, 2007



October16, 2007

Instituto de Fusión Nuclear







Innovative target designs are possible BUT Ignitor laser energy must be determined!





October16, 2007



Instituto de Fusión Nuclear



Instituto de Fusión Nuclear

Type of particles emerging from fusion in the target:

Neutrons Alpha particles Charged Particles from fuel (D, T) no fusion Other charged particles from component of targets (cone, positioner of target....) Debris, Shrapnel Gamma Radiation

Instituto de Fusión Nuclear



Target Emissions

Instituto de Fusión Nuclear



October16, 2007

Instituto de Fusión Nuclear



Instituto de Fusión Nuclear



October16, 2007

Type of effects (different "lecture" if single shot IGNITION or REACTOR IFE Energy):

Heating

Erosion Sputtering

Radiation Damage: Bulk Structural Materials, Diagnosis, Optics

Activation Tritium

Instituto de Eurion Nucl

October16, 2007

Consequences in design

This WILL INFLUENCE HiPER design

- Dimensions; basically separation from capsule central positioning (Radius)
- Material (type, thickness)
- Potential protection of some systems (Debris Shields for FOA or others)
- Operation of the system (manual, remote) depending of type of shot and their energies. That is related to the neutrons emerging from capsule (kilojoulesMegajoules.....), and the activation of the materials.
- Programming of the number of shots and their energy gain for clear operation in licensing the Installation.
- A key aspect is the Activation generated because of Deposition of particles from capsule explosion in the Chamber that are afterwards Activated (very important).

Instituto de Fusión Nuclear

Target	Yidd (MJ)	Laser energy (MJ)	Debris en- ergy (MJ)	X-ray energy (MJ)	BBT (eV)	Pulse 10– 90% (ns)	Fluence (J	am -2)		
Hohlraum closing LFH	0.10	1.8	0.75	1.08	_	_	5 meters 10° 0.57	5 meters 30° 0.51	5 meters 50° 0.41	5 meters 90° 0.12
				0.70 0.23 0.15	255 52 12	10.0 61 61	0.45 0.07 0.05	0.39 0.07 0.05	0.29 0.07 0.05	0.00 0.07 0.05
lohlraum closing LEH	20.00	1.8	2.05	4.54	_	_	2.03	1.87	1.61	0.86
				1.84 2.50 0.20	400 89 18	6.3 49 49	1.17 0.79 0.06	1.01 0.79 0.06	0.75 0.79 0.06	0.00 0.79 0.06
lohlraum open LEH	20.00	1.8	1.65	494	-	-	2.64	2.35	1.88	0.51
				3.35 1.38 0.21	320 81 15	13 67 67	2.13 0.44 0.07	1.85 0.44 0.07	1.37 0.44 0.07	0.00 0.44 0.07

LEH, laser entrance holes.

Thanks to Anderson (LLNL)

October16, 2007

Instituto de Fusión Nuclear

	_			
	Direct drive		Indirect drive	
Energy (MJ)	Pure DT	CH-coated	Just ignited	Large yield
Laser	1.26	1.27	1.31	1.33
Fusion yield	38.6	39.7	0.11	9.4
Neutron	32.4	33.1	0.08	7.1
X-rays	0.4	0.4	0.45	2.0
Debris	7.04	7.44	0.89	1.63
Total	39.8	41.0	1.42	10.73

ICF direct and indirect drive emitted target energy balance comparison [14] Thanks to Tobin, Karpenko, Reyes (LLNL)

October16, 2007





For HiPER design we will need to clearly determine response of emissions from fast ignition (conical, non-conical) targets and perform a similar analysis to this presented here.

October16, 2007





Summary of removal depths measured on Nova X-ray exposures. One to two ns pulses of 200–250 eV blackbody X-rays [Anderson, Burnham] October16, 2007 J.M. Perlado / 3rd Moscow Workshop on Targets & Applications / Lebedev Inst.

Instituto de Fusión Nuclear



Vaporized thickness predictions for aluminium, B4C and pyrolitic carbone graphite versus X-ray fluence for a 350 eV blackbody temperature X-ray spectrum [Schirmann, Tobin]

ACTIVATION: dependence of operation / Sequence of shots

NIF: tentative used during design



Instituto de Fusión Nuclear

Some of these small concentrations make significant changes



Elemental composition of a concrete simple from soil at elevation –7.1 m [Latkowski & Sanz 00b].

Instituto de Fusión Nuclear



Comparison of the contact dose rate corresponding to the real measured composition and that expected from the original composition of the concrete. [Latkowski & Sanz 00b].

Instituto de Fusión Nuclear

- The material that finally will be activated by the neutrons from one shot, and that activation is named PRIMARY ACTIVATION.
- Afterward, that material is irradiated by the neutrons from next shots generating a new activation, or **SECONDARY ACTIVATION**.

October16, 2007

Instituto de Fusión Nuclear



Contact dose rate in the internal part of the chamber during the last 10 shots of the yearly sequence of shots [Sanz, Instituto Fusion Nuclear].





Temporal Evolution of the total dose rate and that corresponding to the most significant radionuclides after the last discharge of activated material in the Storage Facility [Sanz, Instituto Fusion Nuclear.

Instituto de Fusión Nuclear

Yield (MJ)	Spectra (MeV)	Target positioner@20 cm (n cm ⁻²)	First wall (n cm ⁻²) at 5 m	Dose rate limit (mrem h ⁻¹)	Time to dose rate (days)
2.8 kJ ^a	14	2E11	3.2E8	0.7	_
1.9 (100 kJ)	14	7E12	1.12E10	0.7	1.0
2.8 (1 MJ)	14	7E13	1.12E11	0.7	3.1
6.8 (5 MJ)	14	3.5E14	5.6E11	0.7	4.5
21.8 (20 MJ)	14	1.4E15	2.24E12	0.7	5.8
46.8 (45 MJ)	14	3.5E15	5.6E12	0.7	6.5

Neutron environment at NIF and time to access to activated areas, depending on yield per shot [3]

^a Exploding pusher experiment.

Thanks to Tobin, Karpenko, Reyes, Sanz (LLNL/Instituto Fusion Nuclear)

October16, 2007



October16, 2007

Instituto de Fusión Nuclear

Power Plants: Reactors for Inertial Fusion Energy

Need to understand Fusion Technology....

I would say better **Physics for Fusion Technology**

THAT REQUIRES specific Experiments and Predictive Multiscale Modeling Tools



October16, 2007









October16, 2007

Oscillating Now

Instituto de Fusión Nuclear







October16, 2007

Instituto de Fusión Nuclear

KOYO Wetted wall reaction chamber



October16, 2007

Instituto de Fusión Nuclear

Wall interaction chamber

- Thick wall (flowing) PbLi -FLiBe
 - Formation of jet-simulation
 - Evaporation during shot- where does it go?
- Thin layer
 - Under load (vessel in a vessel in primary chamber)
 - Porous material development and testing
 - Debris + neutron (multi KJ test chamber @ Hz)
- Dry Wall Sombrero
 - Test panel in primary chamber
 - Debris + neutron (multi KJ test chamber @ Hz)

<u>At the end DAMAGE and ACTIVATION</u>

Instituto de Fusión Nuclear

Irradiation parameter	er	ITER*	DEMO*	
Total neutron flux	[n/(s cm ²)]	4 x 10 ¹⁴	7.1 x 10 ¹⁴	-
Hydrogen production	[appm/FPY]	445	780	
Helium production	[appm/FPY]	114	198	
Damage production	[dpa/FPY]	10	19	
H/dpa ratio	[appm/dpa]	44.5	41	
He/dpa ratio	[appm/dpa]	11.4	10.4	
Nuclear heating	[W/cm ³]	10	22	
Wall load	[MW/m²]	1.0	2.2	
Candidates: •Vanadio				
•SiC/SiC				107.505a-12 o 108 stage 2039), 4266 stars

Molecular dynamics calculation of displacement damage due to neutron impact.

•Aceros martensiticos "EUROFER"



October16, 2007

a

a

g

e

А

С

C

u

u

a

ti

0

n



Introduction: Motivations

Laser or ions used to focus energy on a small DT target A conceptual model: the SOMBRERO reactor



These lenses will be exposed to high energy neutrons (14 MeV) and ions.

Instituto de Fusión Nuclear

The radiation could affect the optical properties of the material



4 Spectroscopic observations show increase in defect densities (NBOHC, ODC, E') with MeV neutron irradiation

4 ODCs gives rise to the $B_2\alpha$ band at 5 eV (248 nm)

4 The ODC can be coverted to an E' center by losing an electron. E' absortion occurs at 5.8 eV (214 nm)

Induced optical absorption in silica glasses from neutron and gamma irradiation

4 These defect concentrations are shown to decrease with annealing, though the annealing mechanism is not well understood.

4 There are some suggestions that cascade overlap can also contribute to reduced defect densities



C.D.Mashall, J. A. Speth, S. A. Payne, Non-Crystalline Solids 3212

Instituto de Fusión Nuclear

Characterization of defects (Si-O 2.15 Å)



October16, 2007

STRATEGY FOR IRRADIATION

Installations for NEUTRON IRRADIATION are strongly needed: (INTERNATIONAL FUSION MATERIALS IRRADIATION FACILITY, IFMIF),

but also of key importance are:

Small / Medium Scale irradiation facilities in Europe and elsewhere to start to understand basic mechanisms of damage and properly **design** future materials,

together with appropriate **atomic/microscopic/macroscopic diagnosis**.

USE OF HiPER as a pulsed NEUTRON SOURCE

October16, 2007

Instituto de Fusión Nuclear

MATERIALS

<u>Ceramics (Windows/Optics):</u> SiO₂, Alumina, FCa

First Wall: Be, C, W, Ferritic Steels

<u>Structural:</u> Ferritic Steels (FeCr based), Vanadium Alloys, Composites based in SiC or C fibers.

Nanocrystal material for high T / high P conditions in target design, and through Oxide Dispersion Strength in FeCr Ferritic Steels.



Selection of Low Activation elements

in HYLIFE-II irradiation conditions

Limits in the concentration (weight fraction) for all natural elements

		DOMINA	LIMIT	CONCENT	RATION			
			RECY	CLING		RECYCLING		
	ELEMENT	SLB	REMOTE	HANDS-ON	SLB	REMOTE	HANDS-ON	
-	NB	NB 94 (100.)	NB 94 (100.)	NB 94 (100.)	6,93E-07	1,91E-05	4,78E-08	
	ТВ	H0166M (100.)	H0166M (100.)	H0166M (100.)	4,82E-06	1,43E-04	3,67E-07	
	ZN	-	CO 60 (100.)	-	NL	7,59E-01	NL	

Acceptability of pure elements under Shallow Land Burial Criteria (SLB)

l H		NO limit 10-100% 1-10% 0,1-1% 0,1-1 ppm									2 He						
3	4		100-1000 ppm 10-100 ppm 1-10 ppm 5 6 7 8 9 10								10						
Li	Be		B C N 0 F Ne								Ne						
11	12		13 14 15 16 17 18									18					
Na	Mg		Al Si P S Cl Ar									Ar					
19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	35
K	Ca	Sc	Ti	V	Cr	Min	Fe	Co	Ni	Cu	Zn	Ga	Ge	As	Se	Br	Kr
37	38	39	43	41	42	ines	44	45	46	47	48	49	43	51	52	-53	54
Rb	Sr	Y	Zr	Nb	Mo	table	Ru	Rh	Pd	Ag	Cd	In	Sn	Sb	Te	- I	Xe
55	56	57	72	73	74	75	76	77	78	79	80	81	82	83	84	85	86
Cs	Ba	La	Hf	Ta	W	Re	Os	Ir	Pt	Au	Hg	T1	Pb	Bi	Po	At	Rn
	Lantár	idos	dos 58 59 60 incs 62 63 64 66 66 67 68 69 70 71 Ce Pr Nd table Sm Bu Gd Tb Dy Ho Er Tm Yb Lu								71 Lu						

<u>Elements with good engineering properties but not acceptable from activation</u> <u>Elements as impurities restricted to very severe limits</u>

October16, 2007

Simulating Neutron Source in IFE reactors

Instituto de Fusión Nuclear

Monte Carlo codes have become an essential tool for transport analysis in fusion experiments design and validation.

Although complex geometry can be modeled, extensive effort is needed to generate the input card.

Realistic complex input geometries can be achieved with CAD-MCNP geometry conversion systems.

The geometry system design is first fully modeled with a CAD program, and subsequently processed through a MCNP-CAD interface in order to generate the MCNP geometry input file.

This methodology can be applied to determine neutronic calculations for inertial fusion experiments with complex geometries.

Neutronic calculations in KOYO-F blanket will be determined following this methodology, in order to evaluate material activation for the vessel.

Net output	1200 MWe (300 MWe x 4)	Instituto de Funion Nuclear
Laser Energy	1,1 MJ	
Target gain	165	
Fusion output per pulse	200 MJ	
Pulse rep-rate in reactor	4 Hz	
Blanket energy multiplication	1,2	
Thermal output per reactor	916 MWth	
Total output at plant	3664 MWth	
Thermal to electricity efficiency	41,5% (LiPb at 500 °C)	
Total electric output of plant	1519 MWe	
Laser efficiency	8%	
Rep-rate of laser	16 Hz	
Recirculating power of laser	240 MWe	
Total plant efficiency	1200 MWe	
		<image/>

Instituto de Fusión Nuclear



October16, 2007 J.M. Perlado / 3rd Moscow Workshop on Targets & Applications / Lebedev Inst.

CAD representation for full 3 dimensional description of the Reactor to study neutron / gamma transport by MonteCarlo Method:

Neutron Fluxes in each point of Facility and then responses such as heating, tririum Breedind, activation and damage



Instituto de Fusión Nucle





October16, 2007



October16, 2007

Vessel material activation has been analyzed –using ACAB with the EAF2005 data library- after 30 years at nominal operation.

Immediate total activity \rightarrow 0,64 Ci/cm³ After 1 year decay \rightarrow 0,28 Ci/cm³ For periods longer than 100 years \rightarrow 5E-5 Ci/cm³

Isotopes that most contribute to the total radioactivity:

During the first month \rightarrow Fe55 (70%) and Cr51 (20%) Until the 10th year \rightarrow Fe55 (90%) After 100 years \rightarrow C14 (75%)

Activated material classification is not a problem for the vessel: The activated 9Cr-1Mo martensitic steel meets both remote recycling (after 50 years) and hands on recycling criteria (after 100 years).

However, SLB is not possible since WDR is always >1.

Instituto de Fusión Nuclea

October16, 2007



J.M. Perlado / 3rd Moscow Workshop on Targets & Applications / Lebedev Inst.

October16, 2007

Accident analysis for SOMBRERO potentially in HiPER

- Afterheat is low enough to allow rapid cooling of structures (T < 1000 °C in less than 1 minute)
- Recent experiments on carbon oxidation indicate no oxidation at accident temperatures, more studies are under way
- Tritium retention in carbon structures is a critical issue that still needs to be addressed
- The activation products for Xe (cesium and iodine) are the main contributors to accident dose, possible solutions are:
 - removal of I and Cs by the chamber vacuum system
 - use of alternative gas such as Kr

ustituto de using A

October16, 2007

SOMBRERO model for neutron transport

Instituto de Fusión Nuclear



J. Manuel Perlado

October16, 2007